Pursuant to CRC 2.259 this document has been electronically filed by the Superior Court of California, County of Santa Barbara, on 8/14/2019

1 2 3 4 5 6	JAMES P. BALLANTINE, ESQ. 329 East Anapamu Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101 SBN 152015 Phone: (805) 962-2201 e-mail: jpb@ballantinelaw.com Attorney for Plaintiff DAVID WEISMAN	FILED SUPERIOR COURT of CALIFORNIA COUNTY of SANTA BARBARA 08/26/2019 Darrel E. Parker, Executive Officer BY Chavez, Terri Deputy Clerk
7 8 9 10	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA	
11 12 13 14 15 16	DAVID WEISMAN, Plaintiff, v. MICHAEL POST, an individual, and DOES 1 – 10, inclusive,	Case No. 1469303 JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF PLAINTIFF DAVID WEISMAN O
17 18 19 20		Assigned to the Honorable DONNA D. GECK Trial Dates: May 6 - 13, 2019 Time: 9:00 A.M. Dept.: FOUR
21 22 23	This matter was heard in a Court Trial in Department Four of the Santa Barbara Superior Court before the Honorable Donna D. Geck, Judge of the Superior Court on May 6, 2019, May 7, 2019, May 8, 2019, May 9, 2019, and May 13, 2019. Plaintiff DAVID WEISMAN was present	
24 25	at Trial and was represented by his attorney of record, JAMES P. BALLANTINE, ESQ. Defendant MICHAEL POST was present at Trial and was represented by his attorney of record,	
262728	GEOFF CONNOR NEWLAN, ESQ. Thereafter, the matter was submitted as of July 1, 2019, upon the submission of post-trial briefing of the parties.	
20	JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF	PLAINTIFF DAVID WEISMAN

LAW OFFICES

JAMES P. BALLANTINE

The Court, having considered the testimony of the witnesses at trial, the exhibits admitted into evidence, the trial briefs and post-trial briefing submitted by both parties, and having heard arguments by the attorneys for both parties, issued its Tentative Statement of Decision on July 15, 2019. No party specified any other controverted issues or made any other proposals not covered in the Statement of Decision, and pursuant to Rule 3.1590 of the California Rules of Court, the Court adopted its Tentative Statement of Decision as its final Statement of Decision, which it incorporates herein by this reference.

In its Statement of Decision, the Court found that Edie Sedgwick was not a deceased personality under California Civil Code section 3344.1 because her publicity rights did not have commercial value at the time of or as a result of her death on November 16, 1971, and found that all of Edie Sedgwick's publicity rights having any commercial value at the time of her death had already been assigned to Plaintiff's predecessor-in-interest by contract, which contract assigned Edie Sedgwick's rights to her biography in addition to her publicity rights provided for under Civil Code section 3344.1. In its Statement of Decision, the Court found that Plaintiff David Weisman is entitled to Judgment on his First Amended Complaint.

In accordance with the foregoing, good cause appearing for the reasons stated herein, the Court grants Plaintiff David Weisman Judgment on his First Amended Complaint as set forth herein:

THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES, as follows:

- 1. The Court hereby issues declaratory judgment in favor of Plaintiff David Weisman, and declares as set forth herein:
- A. That Defendant Michael Post is not a valid Successor-In-Interest to Edith Sedgwick, aka Edie Sedgwick, ("Sedgwick") under Civil Code section 3344.1, has no rights under Civil Code section 3344.1 as Successor-In-Interest to Sedgwick, and that any past or future filing with the California Secretary of State or any other person or entity or agency by Michael Post or any other person or entity claiming to be Successor-In-Interest to Sedgwick is null and void;

- B. That Defendant Michael Post has no rights to or interest in the name, voice, signature, photograph, or likeness, in any manner, ("Publicity Rights") of Sedgwick; and
- C. That Defendant Michael Post has no Rights adverse to the rights of Plaintiff, as the successor to all rights of the Producer under that certain contract dated December 18, 1970 between Court Pictures as Producer and Sedgwick as Artist, with respect to the motion picture, Ciao! Manhattan ("Ciao! Contract").
- 2. The Court further hereby issues injunctive relief in favor of Plaintiff David Weisman, in the form of a permanent injunction against Defendant Michael Post, and hereby enjoins Defendant Michael Post, and his agents, servants, and employees, and all persons acting under, in concert with, or for, him from all of the following:
- A. From acting or purporting to act in any way as Successor-In-Interest to Sedgwick or under Civil Code section 3344.1 with respect to Sedgwick;
- B. From claiming to have or possess any Publicity Rights of Sedgwick, or entitlement to any Publicity Rights of Sedgwick; and
- C. From taking any acts or engaging in any conduct to interfere with any of Plaintiff's rights under the *Ciao!* Contract or with any of Plaintiff's Publicity Rights in Sedgwick, or any of Plaintiff's rights with respect to the motion picture, *Ciao! Manhattan*.
- 3. Plaintiff David Weisman is the prevailing party entitled to costs of suit and is hereby awarded his costs of suit against Defendant Michael Post in an amount to be determined following Plaintiff's appropriate application and the Court's determination of the amount to which Plaintiff is entitled.

Dated: 08/25/2019

HON. DONNA D. GECK
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Donna D. Geck